LANDSLIDE HAZARD ZONATION MAPPING USING STATISTICAL INFORMATION VALUE METHOD OF UPPER YAMUNOTRI VALLEY IN UTTARKASHI DISTRICT, UTTRAKHAND, INDIA

Saheb Halder¹, Geo-information science & Earth observation with special reference to Natural Hazard & Disaster Risk Management (2013-2014), Indian Institute of Remote Sensing (ISRO) Dehradun & ITC Netherland & Presently employed at PRADAN, Chhattisgarh.
 Dr. Shovan Lal Chattoraj² Scientist/Engineer – SE, Geosciences & Geohazards Department, Indian Institute of Remote Sensing (ISRO), Dehradun.

Dr. P. K. Champati Ray³, Scientist/Engineer- SG, Group Head Geosciences & Disaster Management Studies and Head Geosciences & Cash and Head G

Geohazards Department, Indian Institute of Remote Sensing (ISRO), Dehradun. Saroj Mahapatra⁴, Integrator PRADAN, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

Dr. Shambhu Nath Mishra⁵, IFP-JH, Indian Council of Forestry Research and Education, MoEFCC

Dr. Amit Dhorde⁶, Professor of Geography, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, Maharashtra.

Dr. Kishore Dandapat⁷, Assistant Professor at Kapgari Seva Bharati Mahavidyalaya, Vidyasagar University, West Bengal.

Abstract

Landslide is the most devastating natural hazards by means of slow to rapid upward and downward movement of unstable rock and debris masses flow under the force of gravity in the Uttarakhand State of India. Monsoon rainfall and geological structure have played a key role for occurrence of landslide. "Landslide Hazard zonation Mapping" or "Susceptibility mapping" is very important to predict the zonation wise susceptible areas. In this work information value (Info Val) method was used for landslide hazard zo-nation mapping, considering these parameters - thematic layer slope, aspect, relative relief, land use/land cover, NDVI, lineament, geomorphology etc. This thematic layer has been created and information values were calculated for each and every layer. Then all information value maps are integrated for final zonation mapping or susceptibility mapping. Mainly this project was done for the creation of inventory map and combines each thematic layer for calcu-lation. The interpretations of the susceptibility map reveal that geology, slope, slope aspect, and relative relief played major roles in landslide occurrence and distribution in the Upper Yamunotri valley.

1. Introduction

Landslides constituted 4.89% of the natural disasters that occurred worldwide during the years 1990 to 2015 (<u>www.em-dat.be</u>). Landslides cause loss of life and property, and damage to natural resources, developmental projects and essential commodities, etc. It has been estimated that, on an average, the damage caused by landslides in the Himalayas costs more than US\$ one billion, besides causing about 200 deaths every year, which amounts to 30% of such losses occurring worldwide, Naithani, 1999. Due to landslide blocking the communication links every year and it will happen in any time. Landslides triggered by heavy rainfall are the most common factor of Uttarkashi district. Especially in Uttarakhand district human influenced landslide are originating along the road corridor line and most of the landslides occurs along the riverside. Recently there have been many occurrences in Yamunotri. Landslide susceptibility assessment is a process directed to establish the likelihood that future landslides will occur in a given area based on suitable physical terrain factors (slope, land use, lithology, etc.). It is a common phenomenon especially in the lower Himalayas, which is a tectonically fragile and sensitive mountainous terrain (Ghosh & Suri., 2005). Asia undergoes the maximum damages / losses due to landslides in general and the south Asian nations, in particular, are the worst sufferers. Furthermore, among the south Asian countries, India is one of the affected countries by landslides.

The mountainous environment of Himalaya is highly vulnerable to landslides due to its weak topography, difficult geological set-up and tectonically active belt (Shroder and Bishop 1998, Jade 2004). Yamunotri valley, being a undulating landscape, is experiencing various types of slope failures, mainly for the duration of the monsoon period. This creates a number of miseries to everyone, resulting in failure of life and property, trouble of communication system, and also cause economic trouble in the society. The devastation by landslides along Yamuna valley has been repeatedly reported. In recent times, 14th to 17th July 2013 Uttarkashi was affected by a massive landslide due to cloudburst. It displaced more than 2000 people, and spoilt properties and businesses worth millions. Then in August 2009, about 50 people were killed and several houses were buried under the rubble in three villages in a huge landslide in the Pithoragarh district of Uttarakhand. In 1923, major earth-

2. Objective of the study

The prime objective of the recent study to prepare landslide inventory map by visual interpretation using high resolution satellite imagery and develop susceptibility map using the information value (Info Val) method with the help of remote-sensing data and GIS techniques and also identifying the causes of landslides using information collected from field observation and using geospatial technology.

3. Geographical Location of the study area

Yamunotri Valley is located at an average height of 3,200 meter above mean sea level. In Uttarkashi district, upper Yamunotri Valley (Study area) extends between 30°55′57″ to 31°2′25′′North latitudes and 78°20′58″ to 78°29′41″ East longitudes, covering total area 161 sq km (see Fig. 1). Yamunotri, the source of the Yamuna River is one of the four sites in India's char dham pilgrimage. The holy place of Yamunotri is the westernmost shrine in the Garhwal Himalayas. The actual source an ice-covered lake and glacier (Champasar glacier) situated on top of the mountain at the altitude of 4421 m above mean sea level.

4. Function of Information Value

Method (Info Val Method)

Information value method is a bivariate statistical techniques suggested by Van Westen, in the year of 1997. It has been adopted for assessing the affect of various factors on the occurrence of landslides in terms of weights. It has been based on the following function,

Conditional probability = nslpix / ncpix

Prior probability = nslide / nmap

Information value = log (con_prob. / Pr. Prob.)

Where, nmap = total number of pixels in the map, nslide = total number of landslide pixels, ncpix = number of pixels in each class, nslpix = number of pixels with landslide.

From each thematic data layer weights are calculated by various classes. The resulting thematic data layers has been amalgamated in geographic information system. The contribution of each class of particular thematic data layer has been evaluated and determined by overlaying landslide distribution map over various thematic data layers one by one. The weights calculated using Info Val method for landslide hazard zonation mapping. The weights computed for each class of particular thematic layer indicate the relative importance of that class toward the occurrence of landslides.



Fig. 1 Location of the study area (Yamunotri Valley)

Positive values indicate strong correlation with landslide and negative values indicate negative correlation with landslide.

5. Concept of Landslide Inventory

The inventories are an elementary form of susceptibility mapping because they emphasize the location and extent of recorded landslides. Landslides inventory maps provide useful information about the potential a future landslide prone area. Landslide assessment by preparing inventory of landslide having multiple scopes (Brabb, 1991). It might be documentation of landslide phenomena, like the extent of areas ranging from small to large and to some extent from regions to states or nations (Cardinali et al., 2001). Many country in the world are using this method, even presently India is using this particular method for rapid recovery in a landslideaffected areas. In this regard, a quick response for post event mitigation, assessment of landslide is essential for proper managerial initiative (Mckean & Roering, 2003). Nowadays, frequency ratio methods are mostly used distribution of landslides location.

6. Concept of Susceptibility Mapping

Landslide susceptibility zonation (LSZ), which can formally be defined as the division of land surface into near homogeneous zones and then ranking these according to the degrees of actual or potential hazard owing to landslides" (Varnes, 1984). Landslide susceptibility mapping is basically an indirect method describes the degree of landslide susceptibility on the basis of multiple factors focusing the occurrence of landslides which is being practiced by various scientists based on a number of factors like geology, slope classes, soil depth or land use etc. Moreover, GIS allow a frequent updating of the susceptibility assessment procedures, which should be an ongoing process (Aleotti & Chowdhury, 1999).

7. Data base and conceptual framework of Methodology

Satellite Data Sets	Ancillary's Data Sets Used	Field Data	Software Used
LISS IV data (14 th Oct 2012),	Geological Map after Valdiya (1980) and Thakur (1999)	GPS	ArcGIS 10 (Arc Map & Arc Scene)
LISS IV data (21st July, 2013 & 20th Dec.)	Topo sheet (SOI)-53 I/8 & 53 J/5	Points	ERDAS Imag- ine 2010 PCI Geomatica 2013

7.1 Data Preparation for Landslide Inventory

It is difficult to arrive at all area to trace each and every landslide in mountainous area like the Himalayas. That's why remote sensing images are generally huge resource of information as they supply, using this imagery to make easily landslide inventory map. Recent studies on visual interpretation of high-resolution optical imagery being used for the identification and mapping of landslides. The high resolution image LISS IV (20-12-2013) multispectral of 5.8m spatial resolution that has taken after five month of landslide event (June 2013) as post event data also used (21th July, 2013). DEM were generated using contour digitize with the help of SOI Toposheets maps NO.53 I/8 & 53 J/5 (see Table 1). Besides the whole area has been affected by channelized debris flow therefore Google earth together with the DEM was extensively used for demarcation of the channelized debris flow. One of a major limitation was the similar tonal appearance exhibiting white to light grey colour of pixels for both the new debris flow, road and to some extend the buildup area in standard colour as well as false colour composition. Due to small areal extent of the study area, the visual interpretation method was adopted eventually contributed better results rather than computer software operated semi-automated or pixel-based change detection. Many of the landslides have also been validated with cross check of field observation (see Plate 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7).

7.2 Data Preparation for Susceptibility Mapping

Used for landslide hazard zonation mapping, ten thematic data layers (Slope Map, Slope Aspect, Relative relief Map, Curvature Map, Soil texture, NDVI Map, Geomorphology Map, Lineament buffer Map, Stream buffer Map, Land use/Land cover Map)were prepared individual raster layers. A brief description of the same is given below.

- a) SOI Toposheet (40 m contour interval) contour digitize that is used to generate DEM. This was used to derive topographic parameters like slope, slope aspect and relative height and curvature.
- b) Geology is very important to define any areas past evidences that are taken from geological map, actually this map were generated from the compile of K.S.Valdiya (1980) & V.C.Thakur (1999).
- c) NDVI map were generated from the LISS IV satellite imagery using this formula

d)

Normalised Differential Vegetation Index =

NIR+IR Where,

NIR means Near Infrared (Band 4)

IR means Infrared/Red (Band 3)

- e) Land use/Land cover was prepared through visual interpretation and digitized over LISS IV image. Since classification map was giving few mix classes, therefore visual interpretation was giving a quite better result for LULC map preparation; Moreover boundary between different geomorphic units was rather distinct in high resolution LISS IV image (multispectral) and easy to separate the different geomorphic units. The result land use/ land cover was further validated with Bhuvan portal using WMS service & LULC map of NRSC (2010).
- f) Soil texture classes were generated from NBSS and LUP classification map and visual interpretation of satellite imagery. This final output map Validated with the help of field investigation.

- g) Linear features lineament was prepared and updated with the help of digital image processing and from literature (Champati ray et al., 2013). Whereas lineament map was generated by spatial enhancement techniques mainly edge detection directional filters over LISS4 imagery. Moreover hillshed of SOI toposheet generated DEM was also being used to identify the lineaments throughout the investigate area. Subsequently these linear features were rasterized and created buffer zones using eucledian distance tool with distance interval 100 m for lineament. In the same way stream map was generated from topo map and 50 m buffer zones.
- h) Geomorpholical maps were generated from the visual interpretation of satellite imagery and field investigation. This final output map validated with Bhuvan portal.

On the basis of present studies which have been used input parameter is very important. There are various thematic layers were prepare to perform "Landslide Hazard Zonation Mapping" or "Susceptibility Mapping". Slope Map, Slope Aspect, Relative relief Map, Curvature Map, Soil texture, NDVI Map, Geomorphology Map, Lineament buffer Map, Stream buffer Map, Land use/ Land cover Mapping and Geological Map were prepared with the help of ArcGIS 10.0 & 10.1, Erdas Imagine 2010, PCI Geomatica 2013 for Upper Yamunotri Valley region. Van Westen (1997) proposed the Landslide hazard zonation mapping using statistical information value method (Info Val) which is represents the prior probability and conditional probability of landslide origin within an area of each and every thematic layer (see Table 2 and Fig. 2).

The formula given below,

$$InW_{i} = Inf\left(\frac{Densclas}{Densmap}\right) = Inf\left(\frac{\frac{Npix(Si)}{Npix(Ni)}}{\sum Npix(Si)}\right)$$

Where, Wi = the weight given to a certain parameter class (e.g. a slope class, soil types). Denclas = the landslide density within the parameter class. Npix (si) = number of pixel, which contain landslides, in a certain parameter class. Npix(Ni) = total number of pixels in a certain parameter class.

Physical setting of the study area

8.1 Geology of Study Area

This area is chiefly characterized by jointed gneissic rocks of Higher Himalaya (past Main Central Thrust-MCT zone) with main foliation plane plunging in north direction. The rocks of Higher Himalaya consist of mylonitised quartzite, granite gneiss, green schist, metapellite and phyllitic quartzite. This tract of land is made-up of rocks of Central Crystallines (undiscriminated). According to some researchers this region is covered by low grade metamorphics of Higher Himalaya. A main thrust named Vaikrita Thrust in NW-SE direction is in the vicinity of Yamunotri temple. **Table 2** Materials and methodology

SL No	Thematic Layers	Source		
	Landslide Inventory			
Land	Landslide location	Pre event: LISS IV data (14th Oct 2012),		
1	map	Post event: LISS IV data (21st July, 2013 &		
	*	20th Dec.)		
	Su	sceptibility Mapping		
2	Slope			
3	Aspect Slope	Generated DEM: Digitized contour from S.O.I		
4	Relative relief	Topographical Map No. 53 I/8 & 53 J/5 (40m)		
5	Curvature			
6	NDVI Map	Generated from LISS IV imagery (20th December, 2013)		
7	Geomorphology	Source: Digitized with from Literature & validated with Bhuvan connectivity		
0	Land use/Land	Digitized on the basis of visual interpretation of LISS IV data		
0	cover	(Validate with BHUVAN WMS Service & Land use/Land cover Atlas NRSC (2010)		
0	Lincoment	Digitized from LISS IV data		
9	Lineament	(Updated after Champati ray et al.2014)		
10	Stream	Digitized from the LISS IV satellite imagery		
11	Geology map	Geological map after Valdiya (1980) & Thakur (1999)		





This area is principally characterized by three sets of joints and major lineaments in north, north east and north-west direction. Yamunotri temple and area around is situated on the junction of two lineaments running from NE and NW. These two lineaments are off shoot of a main north-south lineament. Yamuna River flows along this lineament for about 1.65 km. It first deviates for about 300 m in NW direction and then in takes NE course and plunges in the deep gorge and ultimately takes a north-south course. The deviation occurs because of strike-slip fault alongside the direction of river flow in the gorge near Yamunotri temple (see Fig. 3).



Fig. 0 Schematic Geological map of Yamunotri (after Valdiya, 1980).

8.2 Physiography

The maximum and minimum altitude in the study area is 3200 m and 2000 m from the mean sea level. The water from Yamuna River flows to the whole entire study area. Weathering and erosion process caused diverse rock types that have resulted in relief variation.

8.3 Climate

In summer season - Cool during the day and cold at night Min 6°C & Max 20°C. In winter season temperature variation between 7-5° C that's why Snow-bound areas occurs. Some time temperature very decreases and its near about sub-Zero. The climatic outline caused by adjust in geographic setting may well control landslide activities. Very high precipitation in tropical and sub-tropical climatic regions might be activating landslides, as in the Himalayas. The monsoonal weather significantly influences the study area. The annual precipitation of the study area is about 1098 mm (Koppen and Geiger climate classified).

9. Results and Discussions

9.1 Landslide Inventory

Landslides are identifying with the help of spectral characteristics, size, shape, contrast, and morphological appearance. Mainly landslide is depicted by the contrast that results from the spectral variation connecting the landslide and its surroundings area. High-resolution satellite imagery (LISS IV 5.8-m resolution) & (Google earth Geo-eye data) were used for landslide detection (see Fig. 4). The landslide area were mostly covered of barren area, they showed high reflectance, mostly circular to elliptical in shape landslide to be identify. A few of the landslides mapped were crosschecked in the field investigation and taking GPS points of landslides area. A number of thematic maps on particular factors related to the incidence of landslides, viz. soil texture, slope, aspect, relative relief, lithology, stream buffer, lineament, land use/land cover and NDVI have been generated.



Fig. 4 Landslide Inventory Map showing in LISS IV data (FCC).

9.2 Landslide Susceptibility Zonation

9.2.1 Land Use and Land Cover

Total eight land use/land cover classes (see Fig. 5) are identified in the study area. Most of the evergreen and semi evergreen forest and barren land are occupy in this area. These all class Grassland/Grazing land, Snow and Glaciers, Deciduous, Evergreen/semi-evergreen, cropland, river, barren rocky area, built up area are validated with the BHUVAN WMS Service & Land use/Land cover Atlas NRSC (2010). The satellite data (LISS IV data) of the study area was classified with supervised classification method using maximum likelihood classifier but result are not satisfied, so digitize all class with the help of visual interpretation of LISS IV data and Geo-eye data from Google earth. The grass land/grazing land covered 38.75 sq.km area, snow and glaciers occupy about 23.70 sq.km area, Deciduous forest covered about 1.06 sq.km, Evergreen/Semi-evergreen are occupy most of the area 71.66 sq.km, cropland occupied of about 7.15 sq.km, Barren rocky area covering 15.94 sq.km and river & built up area occupied accordingly 2.38 & 0.37 sq.km (see Table 3).

Id	ТҮРЕ	Area (in sq. km.)	Area (in %)
1	Grass land/Grazing Land	38.75	24.07
2	Snow and Glaciers	23.7	14.72
3	Deciduous forest	1.06	0.66
4	Evergreen/Semi- evergreen forest	71.66	44.51
5	Cropland	7.15	4.44
6	River	2.38	1.48
7	Barren Rocky Area	15.94	9.9
8	Built up Area	0.37	0.23

Table 3	Land	use and	Land	cover	statistics
---------	------	---------	------	-------	------------



Fig. 0 Thematic Layer of Land use/ Land cover (Source: NRSC Atlas, 2006).

9.2.2 Geomorphology

Geomorphology gives a prediction of any area. This area clearly identifies dissected hills, snow, barren area, River,

Piedmont Alluvial Plain, these type of variation indicate to occurring landslide area. Most of the landslide areas are concentrated in vegetation dissected hills and barren dissected hills. Some time landslide areas are investigate both side of river. Rocky area occupies 15.43 sq. km, vegetation dissected hills & barren dissected hills covering more than 118 sq km and rests are occupied others (see Table 4 and Fig. 6).

 Table 4 Geomorphology Statistics

Id	Class	Area (in sq. km.)	Area (in %)
1	River	2.35	1.46
2	Snow and Glaciers	24.21	15.04
3	Rocky Area	15.43	9.59
4	Piedmont Alluvial Plain	0.92	0.57
5	Vegetation Dissected Hills	72.87	45.26
6	Barren Dissected Hills	45.21	28.08



Fig. 6 Thematic layer of Geomorphology Map.

9.2.3 Normalized Difference Vegetation

Index (NDVI)

NDVI map has been generated from LISS IV satellite images with spatial resolution 5.8 m. The NDVI value has been calculated by the following formula-

NDVI = (IR-R)/(IR+R)

Where, IR means Infrared, R means Red.

The NDVI is valuable to delineate vegetation quality. Vegetation cover investigation was done using Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) that helps to identify physical cover including vegetation. NDVI is based up on the combination of visible red and near infrared bands and are broadly used to generate vegetation Indices. The values varying in between from -1 to 1, near (+1) indicating healthy vegetation cover and near (-1) indicating unhealthy vegetation. In this analysis it is clearly identified low and high vegetation cover areas. Mainly snow & glacier cover area show the value is low. But low and very low values are occupied major landslide area (see Table 5 and Fig. 7).

 Table 0 Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)

 Statistics

Id	Class	Area (in sq. km.)	Area (in %)
1	Very Low	30.11	18.7
2	Low	31.78	19.74
3	Medium	33.07	20.54
4	High	33.25	20.65
5	Very High	32.8	20.37



9.2.4 Soil Type Map

Soil type is playing a critical role in Landslide. Basically the study area covered in four types of soils. Mostly loamy soil occupies 82.19 % area, Rock outcrop 13.16 %, loamy-skeletal soil 4.49 % and sandy soil only 0.16 % occupied (see Table 6 and Fig. 8).

Table 6 Soil type Statistics

Id	Class	Area (in sq. km.)	Area (in %)
1	Loamy Soil	132.32	82.19
2	Loamy-skeletal Soil	7.22	4.49
3	Sandy Soil	0.26	0.16
4	Rock Outcrop	21.19	13.16



Fig. 8 Thematic Layer of Soil Texture Map (Source: NBSS & LUP Nagpur).

9.2.5 Linear Features (Lineament & Stream)

9.2.5.1 Distance from Lineament

The lineament distance map showing fractures, discontinuities and shear zones from visual interpretation of the LISS IV images. These distance mainly Euclidian distances for each class showing the total 0-400m distance and all distances are equally divided 100m (see Fig. 9, 10). This map was adopted with the help of literature (Champati ray et al. 2013). Lineament map are very important linear features to identify the weak zone of earth surface. These lineament maps are also validated with the help of BHUVAN portal.



Fig. 9 Digitized Lineament map (Updated after Champati ray et al. 2013).



Fig. 10 Thematic Layer of Lineament Distance Map.

9.2.5.2 Distance from River

Streams may harmfully weight steadiness by eroding the slopes or by saturating the lower part of material when resulting increase in water level (Cevik and Topal, 2003; Yalcin, 2005). There are five buffer zones class are to be identified 0-100 m, 100-200 m, 200-300 m, 300-400 m, above 500 m (see Table 7). Five buffer areas (see Fig. 10) were formed within the study area to find out the degree of streams affected the slopes.

Table 7 Distance from River Statistics

Id	Class	Area (in sq. km.)	Area (in %)
1	0-100 m	29.41	18.27
2	100-200 m	32.21	20.01
3	200-300 m	30.48	18.93
4	300-400 m	29.3	18.2
5	Above 400 m	39.6	24.59
	78°22'30°E 78°27'0°E		78°31'30"E



Fig. 10 Thematic Layer of Stream Distance Map.

9.3 DEM Generation and Map Derived

For generation DEM, digitized contour from SOI topographical map. This is the very tedious work to generate DEM. But this DEM very accurate to defined any area. DEM is used for derived various maps slope, aspect, curvature and relative relief (see Fig. 11 & 12).







Fig. 12 DEM (Generated from contour Digitize).

9.3.1 Slope Map

The main parameter was the slope stability that depends upon the slope angle (Lee and Min, 2001). It was generally used to prepare landslide susceptibility map. Slope is the compute of surface gradient and measured in degrees. Slope varying in between 0 to 90° , where 0° represents the plane and 90° represents the straight down areas. Slope angle is very commonly used in landslide susceptibility mapping because landslide is directly related to the slope. The massive landslides mostly occur at high slope area.

The slope values in the Yamunotri area range between 0° and above 60°. The slope of the study area was separated into five slope class (see Table 8 & Fig. 13). The hilly/high slope indicates that most chances of the landslides. 80% Landslide occur on slope having 15 °-60 ° angles. The slope map of the study area was generated from digitization of contours and Digital elevation model, as explained in the material and methodology part. Slope is an important layer for landslide hazard zonation mapping.
 Table 8 Slope statistics

Id	Class	Area (in sq. km.)	Area (in %)
1	0-15 Degree	30.83	19.15
2	15-30 Degree	32.76	20.35
3	30-45 Degree	33.03	20.52
4	45-60 Degree	32.14	19.96
5	Above 60 Degree	32.24	20.03



map of the study area was generated from the DEM. This aspect map is showing the relationship between aspect and landslide. Aspects are divided in 9 types (see Table 9 & Fig. 14) these are Flat (-1°), North (1-22.5°& 337.5360°), North-East (22.5°-67.5°), East (67.5°-112.5°), South-East (112.5°-157.5°), South (157.5°-202.5°) and South-West (202.5-247.5).

Aspect is also considered as the important factors to prepare

landslide susceptibility map. Aspect related parameters

Fable 9	Aspect	Statistics
---------	--------	------------

Id	Class	Area (in sq. km.)	Area (in %)
1	Flat	18	11.18
2	North	18	11.18
3	N-E	18	11.18
4	East	18	11.18
5	S-E	18	11.18
6	South	18	11.18
7	S-W	17	10.56
8	West	18	11.18
9	N-W	18	11.18



Fig. 14 Thematic Layer of Aspect Map.

9.3.3 Curvature Map

The curvature is a technique to measure morphological characteristics of the topography. The surface is convexity of any area implying a positive curvature and when the surface is upwardly concavity the curvature indicates negatively. The positive and negative values of curvature are more chances to occur in landslide area.

Table 10	Curvature	Statistics
----------	-----------	------------

Id	Class	Area (in sq. km.)	Area (in %)
1	Concave	49.74	30.89
2	Plain	52.24	32.45
3	Convex	59.02	36.66
	78°22'30"E	78°27'0"E	78°31'30"E



9.3.4 Relative Relief Map

Relative relief plays an important role for susceptibility mapping. Relative relief is the range value between maximum height and minimum height. The maximum height of the study area varies in 5400 m and minimum height in 2120 m. In the study area relative class are divided into four classes 200-400m, 400-600 m, 600-800 m and above 800 m. After preparation of the relative relief it was sound that above 800 m relative relief area are less landslide prone area and others are more landslide.

International Journal of Remote Sensing & Geoscience (IJRSG)

fable	11	Relative	relief	Statistics
-------	----	----------	--------	-------------------

Id	Class	Area (in sq. km.)	Area (in %)
1	200-400m	42.53	26.42
2	400-600m	41.85	25.99
3	600-800m	39.95	24.82
4	Above 800m	36.66	22.77
	78°22'30"E 78°27'0"	E	78°31'30"E



Fig. 16 Thematic Layer of Relative Relief Map. 9.4 Integration of All Thematic Layers for Landslide Hazard Zonation Mapping and validated with ROC curve

Landslide susceptibility map represents which areas landslides occur in future that contribute to landslides with the earlier period distribution of slope failures (Brabb, 1984). These maps are fundamental equipment for land-use forecast, particularly in hilly areas. Landslide Hazard Zonation map is mostly depends on the amount and excellence of available data. Eleven thematic layers were considered controlling factors in the occurrence of landslides in the Yamunotri valley (study area). At last integrate all thematic layers for susceptibility map or landslide hazard zonation map (see Fig. 17 & Table 12). The total number of pixel was 6454006 and the number of landslide pixel was 292337. The score of each class type was assigned from the relationship between a landslide and each factor shown in table no 13. In the information value it is clearly indicated the positive value mean the strongly correlation with landslide. Most of correlations (see Table 13) are showing in red colour. Among this class high correlation class are Aspect East (0.07633), Geomorphology Rocky area (0.27933), Lineament Buffer above 150 m (-0.2442), Geology- Granite-Granodiorite & Augen Gneiss (0.05473), Land use/Land cover- Barren rocky area (0.34744), NDVI High & Low (0.16378 & 0.02504), Relative relief above 800m (0.17706), Slope above 60degree (0.05548), Soil type Loamy soil (0.01591). Receiveroperating characteristic (ROC) plots can be used for this purpose validating the relationships of landslide and susceptible areas (Zweig and Campbell, 1993). This curve is actually showing the relationship between cumulative percentage of landslide area and cumulative percentage of susceptible area. The relationships of both parameters are highly positive (see Fig. 18).

 Table 12 Landslide Susceptibility class Statistics.

Id	Landslide Susceptibility class	Area (in sq. km.)	Area (in %)
1	Very Low	28.92	17.96
2	Low	31.95	19.84
3	Medium	32.85	20.4
4	High	35.76	22.21
5	Very High	31.52	19.58
-	78°22'30"E 78°27'0"E		78°31'30"E



Fig. 17 Susceptibility/Landslide Hazard Zonation Map.



Fig. 18 ROC showing cumulative percentage of landslide pixel and susceptibility class pixel.

9.5 Conclusion and Recommendation

The Upper Yamunotri region is a naturally a hazardous prone zone, every year people suffer from mostly landslide disasters. It is very difficult to predict landslide like other natural hazards such as flood, earthquake and avalanche is difficult to predict. Landslide inventory map are created with the help of pre and post disaster imagery of LISS IV satellite data and SOI toposheet map are digitize for DEM generation. The relationship of landslide map and DEM driven parameters are highly correlated. It is recommended require for further study using satellite data. It was easy for study in summer session, in rainy season and winter season was very tuff for field visit.

Eleven thematic layers are created for landslide hazard zonation mapping which are the most powerful parameters for landslide. These are Slope, Aspect, Relative relief, Curvature, Land use/land cover, Geology, Stream buffer, Lineament, geomorphology, soil type, NDVI Map. For susceptibility mapping information values are calculate from all maps and finally integrated. The final results are validated with ROC curve and field investigation. To implement a development project in the terrain the landslide susceptibility maps help in decision making. Every year landslides cause massive loss of human life and property in hilly areas. Landslide susceptibility zonation map can be useful in identifying which area is more and less susceptible for disaster. The local authorities can make use of this landslide hazard zonation map for disaster preparedness and implement mitigation techniques.



References

- Aleotti, P. and Chowdhury, R., (1999). Landslide Hazard Assessment: Summary, Review and New Perspectives, Bulletin of Engineering Geology & Environment, 58:21-44.
- [2] Anbalagan, R., (1992). Landslide Hazard Evaluation and Zonation Mapping in Mountainous Terrain, Engineering Geology, 32: 269-277.
- [3] Brabb, E. E., (1984). Innovative approaches to landslide hazard and risk mapping, Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Landslides,16–21 September, Toronto, Ontario, Canada (Canadian Geotechnical Society, Toronto, Ontario, Canada), 1: 307–324.
- [4] Brabb, E. E., (1991). The World Landslide Problem, Episodes, 14(1): 52-61.
- [5] Cardinali, M., Antonini, G., Reichenbach, P., Guzzetti, F., (2001). Photo geological and landslide inventory map for the Upper Tiber River basin. CNR, GruppoNazionale per la DifesadalleCatastrofiIdrogeologiche, Publication, 2116.
- [6] Carrara, A., (1983). Multivariate models for landslide hazard evaluation, Math. Geol.,15: 403 425
- [7] Carrara, A., Cardinali, M., Detti, R., Guzzetti, F., Pasqui, V., and Reichenbach, P., (1991). GIS techniques and statistical models in evaluating landslide hazard. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 16: 427-445.
- [8] Champati ray, P. K., Chattaraj, S. L., Chand, D. S., Kannaujiya, S., (2013). An appraisal on risk assessment and remedial measures for Yamunotri shrine using satellite image interpretation, Indian Landslides. 6(2): 61-68.
- [9] Clerici, A., Perego, S., Tellini, C. and Vescovi, P., (2002). A Procedure for Landslide Susceptibility Zonation by the Conditional Analysis Method, Geomorphology, 48, 349-364.
- [10] Cruden, D. M., (1991). A simple definition of a landslide. Bulletin IAEG, 43(1): 27-29.
- [11] Dai, F.C., Lee C.F. and Ngai, Y.Y., (2002). Landslide risk assessment and management: an overview. Engineering Geology, 64: 65-87.
- [12] Ghosh, J.K., Suri, S. A., (2005). Knowledge based system for assessment of landslide hazard. In Proceedings of the Indian Geotechnical Conference, 1: 393–396.
- [13]GSI, (2005). Inventory of landslides of northwest Himalaya: with available data from eastern Himalaya In: S.K. Gupta, ed.Geological Survey of India. Kolkata: Geological Survey of India, 209.

- [14] Guzzetti, F., Reichenbach, P., Cardinali, M., Galli, M., Ardizzone, F., (2005). Probabilistic landslide hazard assessment at the basin scale.Geomorphology, 72:272– 299.
- [15] Guzzetti, F., Cesare, A., Cardinali, M., Fiorucci, F., Santangelo, M and Chang, K., (2012). Landslide inventory maps: New tools for an old problem, Earth-Science Reviews 112: 42–66.
- [16] Lee, S., Min, K., (2001). Statistical analysis of landslide susceptibility at Yongin, Korea. Environ Geol 40(9): 1095–1113.
- [17] Lee, S., Pradhan, B., (2006). Probabilistic landslide hazards and risk mapping on Penang Island, Malaysia. Journal of Earth System Science, 115: 661–672.
- [18] McKean, J., Roering, J., (2003). Objective landslide detection and surface morphology mapping using highresolution airborne laser altimetry.Geomorphology, 57 (3–4): 331–351.
- [19] Nagarajan, R., Mukherjee, A., Roy, A., Khire, M.V., (1998). Technical note Temporal remote sensing data and GIS application in landslide hazard zonation of part of Western ghat, India. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 19: 573–585.
- [20] Naithani, A. K., (1999) The Himalayan Landslides, Employment News, 23(47), 20-26 February, 1-2.
- [21] Naranjo JL, VanWesten CJ, Soeters R., (1994). Evaluating the use of training areas in bivariate statistical landslide hazard analysis: a case study in Colombia, ITC Journal, 3: 292–300.
- [22] NBSSLUP, (1990). Soil and Land Resources Atlas— INDIA, National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning (ICAR), Nagpur, India.
- [23] NRSA (2001) Landslide hazard zonation mapping along the corridors of the pilgrimage routes in Uttaranchal Himalaya. Technical document, NRSA, Department of Space, India.
- [24] NRSC, (2006).Landuselandcover atlas of india based on multitemporal satellite data of 2005-2006, Department of space, ISRO.goi.hyderabad.
- [25] Rupke J, Cammeraat E, Seijmonsbergen AC, van Westen CJ., (1988). Engineering geomorphology of Widentobel Catchment, Appenzell and Sankt Gallen, Switzerland: a geomorphological inventory system applied to geotechnical appraisal of slope stability. Engineering Geology, 26: 33–68.
- [26] Sarkar, S., Kanungo, D.P., (2004). An integrated approach for landslide susceptibility mapping using remote sensing and GIS. Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing, 70:617–625.

- [27] Sharma M., Kumar, R. (2008), GIS-Based Landslide Hazard Zonation: A Case Study from the Parwanoo Area, Lesser and Outer Himalaya, H.P., India, Bulletins of Engineering Geology and the Environment, 67: 129-137.
- [28] Soeters, R., van Westen, C.J., (1996), Slope Instability Recognition Analysis and Zonation, In Turner K.T. and Schuster, R.L. (eds.). Landslides: Investigation and Mitigation. Special Report No. 247: 129-177.
- [29] Soriso, Valvo, M., (2002). Landslides; from inventory to risk. In: Rybár, Stemberk, Wagner (eds). Proc. of the 1st European conference on landslides, Prague. Balkema, Rotterdam, 59–79.
- [30] Thakur, V.C., Jayangondaperumal, R., Malik, M.A., (2010). Redefining Medlicott-Wadia's main boundary fault from Jhelum to Yamuna: An active fault strand of the main boundary thrust in north-west Himalaya. Tectonophysics (Elsevier), 489(1-4): 29-42.
- [31] Valdiya KS (1980) Geology of Kumaun lesser Himalaya. Wadia Institute of Himalayan Geology, Dehradun, p 291.
- [32] Valdiya, K.S., (1988). Tectonics and evolution of the central sector of the Himalaya. Philisophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 326: 151-175.
- [33] Valdiya, K.S., (2001), Reactivation of terrane-defining boundary thrusts in central sector of the Himalaya: implications.Current Science, 81: 1418–1430.
- [34] van Westen, C, J., (1993). Application of geographic information systems to landslide hazard zonation.ITC publicationNo. 15, International institute for aerospace and earth res. surv.,Enschede, The Netherlands, 245.
- [35] van Westen, C, J., (1997).Statistical landslide hazard analysis. ILWIS 2.1 for windows application guide. ITC Publication, Enschede, 73–84.
- [36] van Westen, C. J., Rengers, N. and Soeters, R., (2003). Use of Geomorphological Information in Indirect Landslide Susceptibility Assessment, Natural Hazards, 30: 399-419.
- [37] van Westen, C.J., (1994). GIS in landslide hazard zonation: a review, with examples from the Andes of Colombia. In: Price, M., Heywood, I. (Eds.), Mountain Environments and Geographic Information System. Taylor & Francis, Basingstoke, 135–165.
- [38] Van Westen, C.J., Asch, T.W.J., Soeters, R., (2006). Landslide hazard and risk zonation—why is it still so difficult?, Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment, 65:167–184.

- [39] Varnes, D,J., (1984) IAEG Commission on Landslides and othermMass Movements on Slopes Landslide hazard zonation: a review of principles and practice. UNESCO, Paris: 63.
- [40] Varnes, D.J. (1978). Slope movement types and process. In Special Report 176: Landslides: Analysis and Control (Eds: Schuster, R.L &Krizek, R.J.), Transportation and Road Research Board, National Academy of Science, Washington, D.C., 11-33.
- [41] www.em-dat.netEM-DAT: The OFDA/CRED International Disaster Database. Universite Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium.
- [42] Yin, K, J., Yan, T, Z., (1988). Statistical prediction model for slope instability of metamorphosed rocks.Proceedings of the 5th international symposium on landslides, Lausanne, Switzerland, 2: 1269–1272.
- [43] Zweig MH, Campbell G., (1993) Receiver-operating characteristics (ROC) plots. Clin Chem 39: 561–577.

Appendices

Table 13 Statistical Information Value (Inf) calculated forLandslide Hazard Zonation Mapping of each selected the-matic layer (Red colour showing highly correlated withlandslide).

	LANDUSE/						
ID	CLASS	NCPIX	NSI PIX	CON PROB	PRIOR PROB	CP/PP	INF
1	GRASSLAND/GRAZING	1550030	61630	0.039761	0.045566	0.87259	-0.05919
2	SNOW AND GLACIERS	947816	34077	0.035953	0.045566	0.78904	-0.10290
3	DECIDUOUS	17920	316	0.017634	0.045566	0.38700	-0.41229
4	EVERGREEN/SEMI- EVERGREEN	2866213	122914	0.042884	0.045566	0.94114	-0.02635
5	CROPLAND	285981	5559	0.019438	0.045566	0.42660	-0.36998
6	RIVER	95353	28	0.000294	0.045566	0.00644	-2.19082
7	BARREN ROCKY AREA	637654	64664	0.101409	0.045566	2.22555	0.34744
8	BUILTUP AREA	14716	436	0.029628	0.045566	0.65021	-0.18694
			N	DVI			
ID	CLASS	NCPIX	NSLPIX	CON_PROB	PRIOR_PROB	CP/PP	INF
1	VERY LOW	1244319	54338	0.043669	0.045083	0.96864	-0.01384
2	LOW	1275660	60924	0.047759	0.045083	1.05936	0.02504
3	MEDIUM	1322673	57181	0.043231	0.045083	0.95893	-0.01821
4	HIGH	1329968	87424	0.065734	0.045083	1.45807	0.16378
5	VERY HIGH	1311836	32470	0.024752	0.045083	0.54903	-0.26041
			RELATI	VE RELIEF			
ID	CLASS	NCPIX	NSLPIX	CON_PROB	PRIOR_PROB	CP/PP	INF
1	200-400M	1541381	40920	0.026548	0.046811	0.56712	-0.24633
2	400-600M	1593897	66479	0.041708	0.046811	0.89099	-0.05013
3	600-800M	1563125	71700	0.04587	0.046811	0.97988	-0.00883
4	ABOVE 800M	1546598	108839	0.070373	0.046811	1.50334	0.17706
			SL	OPE			
ID	CLASS	NCPIX	NSLPIX	CON_PROB	PRIOR_PROB	CP/PP	INF
1	0-15 DEGREE	1233009	40665	0.03298	0.045227	0.72921	-0.13715
2	15-30 DEGREE	1310403	57688	0.044023	0.045227	0.97338	-0.01172
3	30-45 DEGREE	1321364	67172	0.050835	0.045227	1.12400	0.05077
4	45-60 DEGREE	1309331	60538	0.046236	0.045227	1.02230	0.00958
5	ABOVE 60 DEGREE	1289636	66274	0.05139	0.045227	1.13626	0.05548
			SOIL TYPE				
ID	CLASS	NCPIX	NSLPIX	CON_PROB	PRIOR_PROB	CP/PP	INF
1	LOAMY SOIL	5302553	249312	0.047017	0.045326	1.03732	0.01591
2	LOAMY-SKELETAL SOIL	288948	8329	0.028825	0.045326	0.63596	-0.19657
3	SANDY SOIL	10458	0	0	0.045326	0	0
4	ROCK OUTCROP	847715	34696	0.040929	0.045326	0.90299	-0.04432

ID CLASS NCPIX SISIPX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/PP INF 1 FLAT 71686 24906 0.04742 0.045227 0.75816 0.11457 2 NORTH 711949 24224 0.05818 0.045227 1.05701 0.02817 3 N-E 727075 35087 0.048258 0.045227 1.16280 0.05733 5 S-E 722871 39245 0.053918 0.045227 1.16280 0.05537 6 SOUTH 717446 34665 0.037840 0.045227 1.0580 0.04721 7 S-W 699262 26460 0.037840 0.045227 1.0280 0.04721 8 WEST 72866 36755 0.050421 0.045271 1.0280 0.04721 9 N-W 71872 3301 0.045271 0.02812 0.21073 1 RUSEN NEPIX NSLPX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/PP NF <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th colspan="2">ASPECT</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>				ASPECT				
FLAT 716886 24906 0.034742 0.045227 0.76816 -0.11452 2 NORTH 711949 24224 0.034025 0.045227 0.07513 -0.1236 3 N+E 727076 35087 0.048258 0.045227 1.01216 0.06535 4 EAST 727671 39245 0.053293 0.045227 1.16286 0.06535 5 S-F 72415 37994 0.052593 0.045227 1.16286 0.06337 7 S-W 699252 26460 0.03740 0.045227 1.16866 0.04723 9 N-W 7128966 36755 0.050421 0.045227 1.11483 0.04723 9 N-W 71272 30001 0.045278 0.045278 1.02000 10.0173 10 CLASS NCPIX NSLPIX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/PP INF 1 RIVER AREA 617345 53179 0.086141 0.045278 0.021401	ID	CLASS	NCPIX	NSLPIX	CON_PROB	PRIOR_PROB	CP/PP	INF
2 NORTH 711949 24224 0.034025 0.045227 0.75231 0.012361 3 N-E 72706 55087 0.048258 0.045227 1.06701 0.02813 4 EAST 727871 39245 0.05393 0.045227 1.1626 0.06533 5 S-E 722415 37994 0.052393 0.045227 1.1682 0.0733 6 SOUTH 717446 34665 0.048317 0.045227 1.06832 0.02877 8 WEST 728966 36755 0.050421 0.045227 1.1083 0.04733 8 WEST 728966 36755 0.050421 0.045227 1.02500 0.01073 9 N-W 711872 33001 0.046358 0.045278 0.02892 0.0471 10 CLASS NCPIX NSLPIX CONPROB PRIOP.MOR CIVEST 0.045278 0.02892 0.0473 3 ROCKY AREA 617345 53179 0.0	1	FLAT	716886	24906	0.034742	0.045227	0.76816	-0.11455
3 N+E 727076 35087 0.048228 0.045227 1.06701 0.04217 4 EAST 772741 39245 0.053318 0.045227 1.1628 0.06733 5 S+E 772414 39245 0.053318 0.045227 1.1683 0.02877 6 SOUTH 717446 34665 0.037840 0.045227 1.06836 0.04721 7 S-W 699262 26460 0.037840 0.045227 1.1148 0.04721 9 N-W 711872 33001 0.045287 0.04027 0.04721 9 N-W 711872 33001 0.045276 0.04072 0.04721 10 CLASS NCPK NSLPIK CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/PP INF 1 GROKYAREA 617345 53179 0.068141 0.045278 0.08050 0.01731 1 PIODMONT ALLUVAL 36749 240.00 0.00531 0.045278 0.14242 0.8409	2	NORTH	711949	24224	0.034025	0.045227	0.75231	-0.12360
4 EAST 727871 39245 0.053918 0.045227 1.16215 0.07633 5 S-E 722415 37994 0.053918 0.045227 1.1628 0.06537 6 SOUTH 717446 34655 0.037840 0.045227 1.0828 0.06537 7 S-W 699262 26460 0.037840 0.045227 1.03500 0.04723 9 N-W 728966 36755 0.050421 0.045227 1.02500 0.01073 9 N-W 728966 36755 0.050421 0.045227 1.02500 0.01073 9 N-W 71245 3001 0.045327 0.045278 1.02500 0.0173 10 CLASS NCPIX NSLPIX CON_PR08 PRIOR_PR08 CP/P INF 1 RIVER 94114 38 0.006531 0.045278 0.02511 0.77933 3 ROCYARAE 617345 316794 0.045278 0.14424 0.4409	3	N-E	727076	35087	0.048258	0.045227	1.06701	0.02817
5 5-€ 722415 37994 0.05239 0.045227 1.1628 0.06533 6 SOUTH 71744 34665 0.04311 0.045227 0.06663 0.04727 7 GNW 69922 2.0660 0.03740 0.045227 1.11483 0.04721 8 WEST 728966 3.0755 0.050421 0.045227 1.11483 0.04721 9 N-W 712826 3.001 0.045278 0.04527 1.01483 0.04721 9 N-W 71282 3.001 0.045278 0.01527 1.11483 0.0173 10 CLASS NCPK NSUR CON_PRO PLOP 1.0173 1 RIVER 94114 3.8 0.00404 0.045278 0.0802 0.0173 2 SNOW AND GLACERS 94134 3.8129 0.0040531 0.045278 0.18049 0.0173 3 ROCMAT ALLUVIA 3673 0.060531 0.045278 0.10451 0.0173 <	4	EAST	727871	39245	0.053918	0.045227	1.19215	0.07633
6 SOUTH 71744 34655 0.048317 0.045227 1.06832 0.02872 7 S-W 6922 2660 0.03744 0.045227 0.3680 0.0774 8 WEST 728966 3050 0.046328 0.045227 1.0180 0.0173 9 N-W 711872 3000 0.046358 0.04527 1.0250 0.0173 10 CLASS NCW SNUPN CND, PRO PROP, PRO CP/P NTM 11 RNCR 91141 38 0.00403 0.01278 0.01278 0.01278 0.01278 0.01278 0.01278 0.01278 0.01278 0.01371 2 SNOW AND GLACIERS 96133 36479 0.004501 0.045278 0.01371 0.014578 0.01371 3 ROCKY AREA 617345 51379 0.046655 0.045278 0.01371 0.01571 0.01571 0.01571 0.01571 0.01571 0.01571 0.01571 0.01571 0.01571 0.0	5	S-E	722415	37994	0.052593	0.045227	1.16286	0.06553
7 95-W 69362 2646 0.037240 0.045227 0.036042 0.045227 0.037640 0.04721 8 WEST 72866 36755 0.050421 0.045227 1.1180 0.04721 8 WEST 71872 3001 0.04527 1.0250 0.04721 10 CLASS NCW NSUPK CN_PRO PROER CP/P NT 1 RIVER 9411 38 0.00404 0.045278 0.00503 0.045278 0.00503 0.045278 0.00503 0.045278 0.00503 0.045278 0.00503 0.045278 0.04507	6	SOUTH	717446	34665	0.048317	0.045227	1.06832	0.02870
8 WEST 728966 36755 0.05942 0.045227 1.1183 0.04723 9 N-W 711872 30001 0.04535 0.045227 1.02500 0.0173 9 N-W 711872 30001 0.04535 0.045227 1.02500 0.0173 10 CLASS NCPIX NSLPIX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/PP NIF 11 RIVER 94114 38 0.00404 0.045278 0.03920 -0.04973 2 SNOW AND GLACIERS 96124 0.3124 0.035360 0.045278 0.03920 0.04973 3 PIODMONT ALLUVIAL PLAIN 36749 0.36051 0.045278 0.14424 0.8409 5 PIODMONT ALLUVIAL PLAIN 36749 0.36164 0.045278 0.14842 0.8409 6 BARREN DISSECTED HILLS 13674 13679 0.046665 0.045278 0.14842 0.8111 10 CLASS NCPIX NCPIX NCPIX 0.091594	7	S-W	699262	26460	0.037840	0.045227	0.83666	-0.07745
9 N-W 71872 33001 0.046358 0.045227 1.02500 0.1073 0 CLASS NCPIX GEON=FUCOY FRIOR_PC80 PC/P NT 10 CLASS NCPIX SNLPIX CON_PC80 PC/P NT 11 RIVER 9414 38 0.00404 0.045278 0.0892 2.0497 2 SNOW AND GLACIERS 968523 34247 0.035360 0.045278 0.7806 0.1733 3 ROCKY AREA 617345 53179 0.066511 0.045278 1.03051 0.04327 4 PIODMOT ALLUVIAL PLAIN 36749 240.00 0.06531 0.045278 1.03055 0.1313 5 VEGETATION PLAIN 136749 240.00 0.03511 0.045278 1.03056 0.0131 6 BAREN DISSECTED HILLS 136749 1.04766 0.045278 1.03057 0.0311 10 CLASS NCPIX NSLPIX CON_PR08 PRIOR_PR08 C/P/P INF <td>8</td> <td>WEST</td> <td>728966</td> <td>36755</td> <td>0.050421</td> <td>0.045227</td> <td>1.11483</td> <td>0.04721</td>	8	WEST	728966	36755	0.050421	0.045227	1.11483	0.04721
Image: style is a style style is a style i	9	N-W	711872	33001	0.046358	0.045227	1.02500	0.01073
ID CLASS NCPIX SNSLPX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/PP INF 1 RIVER 9114 38 0.000404 0.045278 0.00802 -2.0497 2 SNOW AND GLACIER 96852 34247 0.035360 0.045278 0.07393 0.01733 3 ROCKYAREA 617345 534247 0.06531 0.045278 1.02501 0.27333 4 PIODMONTALLUVIAL 3679 240.00 0.066531 0.045278 1.03402 0.08131 5 PIODEMONTALLUVIAL 36793 24700 0.045653 0.045278 1.03402 0.08131 6 BARREN DISSECTED 393137 36794 0.045653 0.045278 0.28477 0.8847 0.08174 70 BARREN DISSECTED 189842 67835 0.045208 0.08174 0.21728 0.24217 70 CLASS 89842 14032 0.04820 0.091594 0.5728 0.24214 71 GASOLISS 19844				GEOMO	RPHOLOGY			
NIVER 9114 38 0.00040 0.045278 0.00082 0.04077 2 SNOW AND GACIERS 968523 34247 0.035360 0.045278 0.7809 0.7873 3 ROCKY ARC 617345 53179 0.06141 0.045278 0.1909 0.7873 4 POMONT ALLUWA 3679 2.0409 0.06531 0.045278 0.1909 0.1319 5 VEGETATION PLAIN 37373 36794 2.040653 0.045278 0.1319 0.1319 6 VEGETATION PLAIN 231373 31679 0.046655 0.045278 0.1319 0.1319 6 BARREN DISSECTED 180842 67830 0.01517 0.1202 0.01517 0.1202 0.01517 0.1203 0.01517 0.1203 0.1211 10 GLASS NGPU 18024 0.01504 0.1202 0.1211 0.1211 0.1211 0.1211 0.1211 0.1211 0.1211 0.1211 0.1211 0.1211 0.1211 0.1211	ID	CLASS	NCPIX	NSLPIX	CON_PROB	PRIOR_PROB	CP/PP	INF
2 SNOW AND GLACIERS 968523 34247 0.035360 0.045278 0.78096 -0.1073 3 ROCKY AREA 617345 53179 0.086141 0.045278 1.90251 0.27933 4 PIODMONT ALLUVIAL PLAIN 36749 240.00 0.006531 0.045278 1.04244 -0.84093 5 VEGETATION DISSECTED HILLS 2931375 136794 0.046665 0.045278 1.03065 0.01311 6 BAREN DISSECTED HILLS 1808420 67836 0.037511 0.045278 0.82847 -0.8177 10 CLASS NCPKX NSLPIX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/PP INF 1 0-50 M 779412 38035 0.048800 0.091594 0.53278 -0.24201 3 100-150 M 78262 43873 0.052692 0.091594 0.55275 -0.24201 4 ABOVE 150 M 780761 40756 0.052109 0.91594 0.55290 0.24421 1 GRANITE- GRANITE- 1	1	RIVER	94114	38	0.000404	0.045278	0.00892	-2.04976
3 ROCKY AREA 617345 53179 0.086141 0.045278 1.90251 0.27933 4 PIODMONT ALLVIAL PLAIN 36749 240.00 0.006531 0.045278 0.14424 -0.8409 5 VEGETATION DISSECTED HILLS 2931375 136794 0.046665 0.045278 1.03065 0.01311 6 BARKN DISSECTED HILLS 1808420 67836 0.037511 0.045278 0.82847 -0.8177 10 CLASS NCPIX NSLPIX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/PP INF 1 0-50 M 779412 38035 0.048800 0.091594 0.53278 -0.24201 2 50-100 M 832629 43873 0.052692 0.091594 0.57275 -0.24201 3 100-150 M 790472 40756 0.052461 0.091594 0.57275 -0.24201 4 ABOVE 150 M 78047 10756 0.051379 0.045295 1.13430 0.05473 1 GRANDIF- GRANITE- AUGEN GNEISS	2	SNOW AND GLACIERS	968523	34247	0.035360	0.045278	0.78096	-0.10737
4 PIODMONTALLIVIAL 36749 240.00 0.005531 0.045278 0.1424 0.8409 5 PLGIN 2931375 136794 0.046665 0.045278 1.03065 0.041578 6 BARREN DISSECTED 1808420 67836 0.037511 0.045278 0.8287 0.0817 7 CLASS NCPIX SUMEXTURE 100 0.65278 0.8287 0.0817 10 CLASS NCPIX NINEAW CON_PR08 PRIOR_PR08 0.8278 0.27241 10 0.50 M 73942 43803 0.04800 0.091594 0.53278 0.24201 11 OD-50 M 738262 43873 0.052692 0.091594 0.53278 0.24201 12 50-100 M 832629 43873 0.052692 0.091594 0.57276 0.24201 13 100-150 M 78076 70757 0.048200 0.091594 0.57270 0.24201 14 ABOVE 150 M 780767 0.0177 0.045	3	ROCKY AREA	617345	53179	0.086141	0.045278	1.90251	0.27933
VEGETATION DISSECTED HILLS 293137 136794 0.046665 0.045278 1.03065 0.01313 6 BARK DISSECTED HILLS 180840 67836 0.037511 0.045278 0.8207 0.8017 7 7 10 CLASS NURLAW—TUFLE 0.037511 0.8278 0.8278 0.8287 0.01313 10 CLASS NCPIX NURLAW—TUFLE PIOL 1.011 0.01272 0.02734 0.02734 0.02734 0.02734 2 50-100 M 79412 38035 0.048800 0.091594 0.57228 0.02401 3 100-150 M 78262 43873 0.052692 0.091594 0.57275 0.02401 4 ABOVE 150 M 78076 40756 0.052461 0.091594 0.57275 0.02402 10 CLASS NCPIX NSEPIX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/P INF 1 GRAMITE- AUGET GRIESA 151518 77848 0.051379 0.045295 1.88011 0.05478 <td>4</td> <td>PIODMONT ALLUVIAL PLAIN</td> <td>36749</td> <td>240.00</td> <td>0.006531</td> <td>0.045278</td> <td>0.14424</td> <td>-0.84092</td>	4	PIODMONT ALLUVIAL PLAIN	36749	240.00	0.006531	0.045278	0.14424	-0.84092
6 BARREN DISSECTED HILLS 1808420 67836 0.037511 0.04278 0.82847 0.08177 III IIII IIIII IIIIIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	5	VEGETATION DISSECTED HILLS	2931375	136794	0.046665	0.045278	1.03065	0.01311
Image Image <t< td=""><td>6</td><td>BARREN DISSECTED HILLS</td><td>1808420</td><td>67836</td><td>0.037511</td><td>0.045278</td><td>0.82847</td><td>-0.08172</td></t<>	6	BARREN DISSECTED HILLS	1808420	67836	0.037511	0.045278	0.82847	-0.08172
IDD CLASS NCPIX NSLPIX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/PP INF 1 0-50 M 779412 38035 0.048800 0.091594 0.53278 0.27341 2 50-100 M 832629 43873 0.052402 0.091594 0.53278 0.24201 3 100-150 M 79844 401908 0.052402 0.091594 0.57278 0.24201 4 ABOVE 150 M 780767 40756 0.052402 0.091594 0.55276 0.24201 10 CLASS NCPIX 40756 0.52200 0.091594 0.5690 0.24242 11 GRANITE- GRANDEORITE & FMS NCPIX SNEINX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/PP INF 12 GRANITE & GRANDEORITE & FMS 1.515181 77848 0.051379 0.045295 1.13430 0.05540 2 NAGTHAL-BERINAG FMS 649869 25907 0.039865 0.045295 0.88011 0.05540 3 BETALGHAT M. BETALGHAT M. UNITS <				LINEAME	NT BUFFER			
1 0-50 M 79412 3803 0.048800 0.091594 0.53282 0.2349 2 55-100 M 83229 43873 0.05269 0.091594 0.5728 0.24201 3 100-150 M 78844 41908 0.05269 0.091594 0.5728 0.24201 4 ABOVE 150 M 78844 41908 0.05240 0.091594 0.5720 0.24201 4 ABOVE 150 M 78077 40757 0.05220 0.091594 0.5720 0.24201 4 ABOVE 150 M 78070 40757 0.05220 0.091594 0.5720 0.24201 5 GRANITE- GRANDICHTE & AUGESTORIES A NSIPIX CNPARD PRIOR_PRO CP/P NT 1 GRANDICHTE & AUGESTORIES A 151518 77848 0.051379 0.045295 1.1340 0.55494 2 AGTHAL-ERINAGA FMA 151518 77848 0.05917 0.045295 0.88011 0.55494 3 BETAGHATM BETAGHATM UNITS 104954 1.04971<	ID	CLASS	NCPIX	NSLPIX	CON_PROB	PRIOR_PROB	CP/PP	INF
2 50-100 M 832629 43873 0.052692 0.091594 0.57288 0.02401 3 100-150 M 79804 41908 0.052462 0.091594 0.57208 0.02401 4 AB0VE 150 M 78067 0.0575 0.052462 0.091594 0.57208 0.02402 10 CLASS NCPIX OSC 0.05107 0.01594 0.5690 0.01404 10 CLASS NCPIX NSLPIX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/P NIF 11 GRANUTE- GRANDOLONITE & AUGEN ONCHISS 151518 77848 0.051379 0.045295 1.13430 0.56474 2 NAGRIA-BERINAB FMS 649869 25907 0.039865 0.045295 0.8801 0.04047 3 GRANUTE- HALHWARIAN BETAIGHAT ME UNITS A1906852 84860 0.049717 0.045295 1.09762 0.04045 4 JUTOGH ALMORA 258214 103721 0.040169 0.045295 0.88683 0.05114	1	0-50 M	779412	38035	0.048800	0.091594	0.53278	-0.27345
3 100-150 M 798844 41908 0.052461 0.019154 0.57275 0.02420 4 ABOVE 150 M 780767 40756 0.05200 0.019154 0.5690 0.2420 0 CLASS NCPIX NSLPIX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/P INF 1 GRANITE- GRANODIORITE A LAUGE NORIES 151518 77848 0.051379 0.045295 1.1343 0.05547 2 NAGTHAL-BRINAG FMS 649869 25907 0.039865 0.045295 0.88011 0.05547 3 BETALGHAT M BETALGHAT M DIATUARIA & BARKOT UNITS 1706852 84860 0.049717 0.045295 1.09762 0.04045 4 JUTOGH ALMORA 25210 103721 0.040169 0.045295 0.88683 0.05117	2	50-100 M	832629	43873	0.052692	0.091594	0.57528	-0.24012
4 ABOVE ISO M 780767 40756 0.052200 0.091594 0.56990 -0.24242 ID CLASS NCPIX SCDIFY IC IC IC 1D CLASS NCPIX SCDIFY IC IC <td>3</td> <td>100-150 M</td> <td>798844</td> <td>41908</td> <td>0.052461</td> <td>0.091594</td> <td>0.57275</td> <td>-0.24203</td>	3	100-150 M	798844	41908	0.052461	0.091594	0.57275	-0.24203
Image: Normal System Image: N	4	ABOVE 150 M	780767	40756	0.052200	0.091594	0.56990	-0.24420
ID CLASS NCPIX NSLPIX CON_PROB PRIOR_PROB CP/PP INF 1 GRANITE- AUGEN GNEISS AUGEN GNEISS 1515181 77848 0.051379 0.045295 1.13430 0.05473 2 NAGTHAL-BEINNAG FMS 649869 25907 0.039865 0.045295 0.88011 -0.05541 3 BETALGHAT M. BHATWARI & BARKOT UNITS 1706852 84860 0.049717 0.045295 1.09762 0.04045 4 JUTOGH ALMORA 2582104 103721 0.040169 0.045295 0.88683 -0.05211				GEOLO	OGY MAP			
GRANITE- GRANODIORITE & AUGEN ONERS 1515181 77848 0.051379 0.045295 1.13430 0.05473 2 NAGTHAL-BEINNAG FMS 649869 25907 0.039865 0.045295 0.88011 -0.0554 3 NATHUAKHAN BETALGHAT M. BHATWAR & BARKOT UNITS 1706852 84860 0.049717 0.045295 1.09762 0.04045 4 JUTOGH ALMORA 2582104 103721 0.040169 0.045295 0.88683 -0.05211	ID	CLASS	NCPIX	NSLPIX	CON_PROB	PRIOR_PROB	CP/PP	INF
2 NAGTHAI-BERINAG FMS 649869 25907 0.039865 0.045295 0.88011 -0.0554 3 NATHUAKHAN BETALGHAT M. BHATWAR & BARKOT UNITS 1706852 84860 0.049717 0.045295 1.09762 0.04045 4 JUTOGH ALMORA 2582104 103721 0.040169 0.045295 0.88683 -0.05211	1	GRANITE- GRANODIORITE & AUGEN GNEISS	1515181	77848	0.051379	0.045295	1.13430	0.05473
NATHUAKHAN BETALGHAI M. BHATWARI & BARKOT UNITS 1706852 84860 0.049717 0.045295 1.09762 0.04049 4 JUTOGH ALMORA 2582104 103721 0.040169 0.045295 0.88683 -0.05211	2	NAGTHAL-BERINAG FMS	649869	25907	0.039865	0.045295	0.88011	-0.05546
4 JUTOGH ALMORA 2582104 103721 0.040169 0.045295 0.88683 -0.0521	3	NATHUAKHAN BETALGHAT M. BHATWARI & BARKOT UNITS	1706852	84860	0.049717	0.045295	1.09762	0.04045
	4	JUTOGH ALMORA	2582104	103721	0.040169	0.045295	0.88683	-0.05216